LAW OFFICES OF ZEV B. ZYSMAN 1 A Professional Corporation VENTURA SUPERIOR COURT Zev B. Zysman (176805) 2 zev@zysmanlawca.com 15760 Ventura Boulevard, 16th Floor 3 Encino, CA 91436 Tel.: 818-783-8836 MICHAEL D. PLANET 4 818-783-9985 Fax: Executive Officer and Clerk 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff and ELIZABETH MULLER the Proposed Class 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF VENTURA 10 LYNETTE FLIEGELMAN, on behalf of Case No.56-2018-00513611-CU-BT-VTA 11 herself and all others similarly situated, 12 Plaintiff, [Proposed] Final Approval Order AND JUDGMENT 13 v. Date: November 20, 2018 14 THE TALBOTS, INC. and DOES 1 through Time: 9:00 a.m. 100, inclusive, Judge: Hon. Kevin DeNoce 15 Dept: 43 Defendants. 16 17 18 On November 20, 2018, this Court heard plaintiff Lynette Fliegelman's ("Plaintiff") 19 Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement and Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for 20 Attorneys' Fees, Expenses and Incentive Award. This Court has reviewed the motions and the 21 supporting papers, including the Agreement of Settlement and Release ("Agreement"), along 22 with correspondence from one of the putative class members concerning the Settlement and the 23 Parties' responses thereto. Based on this review and the findings below, the Court finds good 24 cause to grant the Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement and the Motion for 25 Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Incentive Award. 26

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

27

28

FINDINGS:

- Unless otherwise specified, defined terms in the Agreement have the same definition as used in this Final Order and Judgment.
- 2. The Court finds the Settlement was entered into in good faith, that it is fair, reasonable and adequate, and that it satisfies the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of this class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769.
 - 3. The Parties adequately performed their obligations under the Agreement.
- 4. Defendant The Talbots, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Talbots"), provided notice to Class Members in compliance with Section 3.3 of the Agreement, California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and any other applicable law. The notice: (i) fully and accurately informed Class Members about the lawsuit and Settlement; (ii) provided sufficient information so that Class Members were able to decide whether to accept the benefits offered, opt-out and pursue their own remedies, or object to the proposed Settlement; (iii) provided procedures for Class Members to file written objections to the proposed Settlement, to appear at the hearing, and to state objections to the proposed Settlement; and (iv) provided the time, date and place of the final fairness hearing.
- 5. An award of \$325,000 in attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel is fair and reasonable in light of the nature of this case, Class Counsel's experience and efforts in prosecuting this Action, and the benefits obtained for the Class.
- 6. An incentive award to Plaintiff Lynette Fliegelman in the amount of \$3,000 is fair and reasonable in light of: (a) Plaintiff's risks (including financial, professional, and emotional) in commencing this action as the Class Representative; (b) the time and effort spent by Plaintiff in litigating this action as the Class Representative; and (c) Plaintiff's public interest service.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

Class Members. For Settlement purposes, the Class is defined as follows:

All persons who, during the period of time beginning May 17, 2013 through August 2, 2018, purchased one (1) or more products at any Talbots outlet store, in the State of California and did not receive a refund or credit for their purchase(s).

- 8. Binding Effect of Order. This order applies to all claims or causes of action settled under the Agreement, and binds all Class Members, including those who did not properly request exclusion under the terms of the Preliminary Approval and Provisional Class Certification Order. This order does not bind persons who filed timely and valid requests for exclusion. Attached as Exhibit A is a list of the two persons who properly requested to be excluded from the Settlement.
- 9. Objection. The Court has considered the purported objection submitted by Susanne Brauer. The Court finds that Ms. Brauer failed to object in the manner specified in the Full Notice. The Court also finds that the objection is non-meritorious and hereby overrules the objection in its entirety.
- 10. Release. Plaintiff and all Class Members who did not properly request exclusion are: (1) deemed to have released and discharged Talbots from all claims arising out of or asserted in this Action and claims released under the Agreement; and (2) barred and permanently enjoined from asserting, instituting, or prosecuting, either directly or indirectly, these claims.
- 11. Class Relief. Talbots will issue the appropriate Merchandise Credit to each Class Member who is an Authorized Claimant or is otherwise entitled to a Merchandise Credit under Section 2.1 of the Agreement, according to the procedure set forth in Section 2.2 of the Agreement.
- 12. Attorney's Fees and Costs. Class Counsel is awarded \$325,000 total in fees and costs. Talbots must pay Class Counsel this amount according to the timeline set forth in Section 2.4 of the Agreement.

EXHIBIT A

TIMELY LIST OF EXCLUSIONS

4 1. Barbara Burch

Jill Hancock

5.

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT